



# Questionnaire for the typological study of caritives

Sonya Oskolskaya ([sonypolik@mail.ru](mailto:sonypolik@mail.ru))

8th conference

“Language issues: A young scholar’s perspective”,

27/03/2021

# Outline

- 1.Introduction
- 2.Principles of the questionnaire
- 3.Structure of the questionnaire
- 4.Problems of the questionnaire

# 1. Introduction: project

“Grammatical periphery in the languages of the world: a typological study of caritives”

RSF grant 18-78-10058

<https://www.caritive.org/>

Acknowledgements: Maksim Fedotov, Natalia M. Zaika, Sergei Klimenko, Elizaveta Zabelina, Anna Mazarchuk, Kirill Kozhanov, Igor Vinogradov, Dmitry Gerasimov, Mehmet Muslimov, Ezequiel Koile, Anastasia Zhuk.

# 1. Introduction: definition

- CARITIVE describes non-involvement (including, but not limited to absence) of a participant (absentee) in a situation, with the non-involvement predication semantically modifying the situation or a participant of a different situation.
  - (1) *John came **without his children**.*
  - (2) *John travelled **without money**.*
  - (3) *A **beardless** man was sitting in the corner of the room.*
  - (4) *John opened a bottle **without a corkscrew**.*

# 1. Introduction: goal of the research

- To study how the caritive meaning(s) is expressed in the languages of the world.
  - We include both dedicated (English *without*) and non-dedicated (e.g., “not having”) markers.
  - How often is caritive expressed with dedicated constructions?
  - What are grammatical features of different types of caritive constructions?
  - What semantics can be expressed by caritive constructions?
  - ...

## 2. Principles of the questionnaire

- 1) - The questionnaire for a scholar (~a specialist in some language):
  - a list of linguistic parameters (morphological, syntactic, semantic and pragmatic features of a caritive construction)
- Not a questionnaire for a speaker, although there is a list of sentences for translation based on the list of parameters.

## 2. Principles of the questionnaire

2) The questionnaire must be applicable to any caritive expressions.

- Dedicated markers
  - Case affixes:
    - (5) Ingrian Finnish (elicitation by M. Muslimov)  
*kuv-i-tta*  
picture-PL-ABESS  
'without pictures'
    - Clitics / adpositions:
      - (6) *John came **without** Mary.*

## 2. Principles of the questionnaire

- Derivational suffixes:

(7) *A beardless man*

- Applicatives:

(8) Bauni (Corris 2005: 258)

*Mônrai*            *n-opu-títí-bo-na.*

singsing            IRR-2SG.M-dance-WITHOUT-1SG.M

‘You carry on dancing without me.’



## 2. Principles of the questionnaire

- Periphrastic construction

(9) Lezgian (?) (Haspelmath 1993: 324 (ex. 887))

*Am tup'.u-q<sup>h</sup> tup'al galama-č-iz xta-na.*

he:ABS [finger-POESS ring be.still.behind-NEG-IMC] return-AOR

'He came back without the ring on the finger (lit. with the ring no longer being on the finger).'

- Non-dedicated markers

(10) Khmer (elicitation by D. Saparova)

*koat baək da:p sra: daoj*

3 open bottle alcohol daoj

*min jɔ:k ʔupa:ka: təw baək*

NEG take tool go open

'He opened a bottle of wine without a corkscrew'

## 2. Principles of the questionnaire

- Non-dedicated markers

(11) Kayardild (Evans 1995)

*nginyinangkuru-ya*    *kiwali-ja*    *niwan-marri*    *wumburu-warri*

why-MLOC                      wade-ACT              his-PRIV              spear-PRIV

'Why is he wading about without his spear?'

= negation of possession

## 2. Principles of the questionnaire

3) The questionnaire should reveal semantic and syntactic functions of caritive expressions.

Examples of semantic functions: companion (*He came without Mary*), instrument (*He opened a bottle without a corkscrew*) ... time (*без пяти четыре*)...

4) The collected data must be available for statistical processing.

### 3. Structure of the questionnaire

- Table xls and comments: <https://www.caritive.org/questionnaire>
- A list of parameters of caritive expressions (grammar, semantics, information structure)
- Every parameter:value (1, 1?, 0, 0?, ND, IRR)
  - note
  - language example with glosses
  - translations of the example
  - source

## (Sorry for complicated description!)

1.° “Level of realization of the caritive marker”: we refer to the level of the smallest (morpho)syntactic unit that contains the marker. This unit must also include the root/word/noun phrase expressing the absentee.¶

In the simple case, one should put “1” in the one row that corresponds to the syntactic level of realization of the whole caritive phrase (absentee + caritive marker).¶

For example, the caritive adjectivizing derivational affix *-less* in English would get “1” only in the row 1a, and “0” in all other rows. The caritive case affix *-ta* in Estonian would get “1” only in the row 1b. The Spanish preposition *sin* would also get only one “1”, but in the row 1c. A caritive applicative verbal marker (the case where caritive is head-marked) would get one “1” in the row 1d. A construction that introduces a dependent clause (like “He left, [not having money]”) would get “1” only in the row 1e.¶

However, “1” can be put in more than one row for this parameter, if the caritive marker contains several components.¶

For example, one of the ways to express caritive semantics in Gban is a combination of sentential negation plus a comitative postposition that introduces the absentee (similar to “He didn’t come with Mary”). Such a combination would get “1” in the row 1c “part of a constituent” (for the postpositional component) and “1” in the row 1d “part of the same clause” (for the negation marker), and “0” in the remaining rows. The Russian preposition *bez* would not only get “1” in the row 1c “part of a constituent”, but also “1” in the row 1b “part of the word — inflectional”, because the preposition *bez* obligatorily governs the genitive case of the noun.¶

Another complex case is attested in Enets: one of the negative predicative possessive constructions is formed by attaching a verbalizing suffix meaning ‘not to have X’ to a noun stem. And such derived verbs, with the addition of a participial suffix (which allows to use the clause based on this verb attributively), are used to express caritive semantics, lit. “house-not have-ing man” for ‘homeless man’. In such cases it is suggested to put “1” in the row 1a (because the verbalizing suffix itself is derivational) and “1” in the row 1e “part of an additional ... clause”.

# PART 1. GRAMMAR

## Parameter 1. Level of expression of the caritive marker

1a – ...as a part of the word [expressing absentee] — derivational  
(*beard-less*)

1b – ...as a part of the word [expressing absentee] — inflectional  
(Chuvash *jɨdə-zər* 'without a dog')

1c – ...as a part of a constituent (adposition phrase) (*without money*)

1d – ...as a part of the (same) clause (“*he didn't leave with money*”)

1e – ...as a part of additional "auxiliary" clause (“he left, not having money”)

Parameter 2. Morphological properties of the caritive marker  
(with respect to the morpheme expressing absence)

2a – affix? (what type of position?)

2b – clitic/adposition? (what type of position?)

2c – clausal syntactic construction?

2d – OTHER?

- Parameter 3. Is it possible to attach other affixes “on top of” the caritive marker (which would semantically relate to the caritive phrase as a whole and express meanings “external” to it)? (What are they?)
- Parameter 4. Is it possible to attach other affixes to the caritive marker (clitic/adposition) itself (which would mark its syntactic relation to the absentee)? (What are they?)



# Parameter 5. Morphosyntactic properties of the absentee

- Is it possible to express “classifying” grammatical categories (of the same word)? (What are they?)
- Is it possible to express inflectional grammatical categories? (What are they? Are all of them allowed or only part of them?)
- Is it possible for the absentee to have dependents of its own?

Parameter 6. Compatibility: what classes of the words expressing the absentee does this marker combine with?

- ...nouns (common nouns)?
- ...nouns (proper nouns)?
- ...pronouns (personal)?
- ...pronouns (other: wh-pronouns, etc.)?
- ...non-finite verb forms (nominalizations, etc.)?
- ...(finite) verbs?#
- ...adverbs?#
- ...adjectives?#
- OTHER?

# Parameter 7. Productivity

- Is the marker (absolutely) productive?

## Parameter 8. Syntactic functions of the caritive phrase

- 8a - Secondary predicate - depictive?
- 8b - Secondary predicate - resultative? (?) “he robbed him without money” (cf. *Killed him dead*)
- 8c - Adverbial dependent (non-depictive adjunct)?
- 8d - Attribute?
- 8e - Predicate / part of a predicate?
- 9 - Headless use? (+ in what positions - subject, object, ...?)

# Parameters 10-12. Dedicatedness

## Dedicated nature

10 - Is the caritive marker dedicated on the whole (i.e. all the components together, if there are several components)?

11 - Is at least one component of the caritive marker dedicated (i.e. specific for caritive expression)?

# Parameters 10-11. Dedicated markers

## Dedicated nature

10 - Is the caritive marker dedicated on the whole (i.e. all the components together, if there are several components)? = **1**

11 - Is at least one component of the caritive marker dedicated (i.e. specific for caritive expression)? = **0**

(12) Mian (Fedden 1973: 92)

*nē*      *afoksmîk=o*    *asumâtna*    *fût-besa*

1SG    hour=N2      three            tobacco-nothing

'I (have gone) three hours without a smoke.'

# Parameters 10-12. Dedicatedness

## Not dedicated (synchronically) constructions

12a - Equal to negation of comitative (phrasal or sentential)?

12b - Equal to standard negation construction / verbal negation construction (including any additional, expressed or implicit, predicate)?

12c - Equal to negative existential construction?

12d - Equal to negative predicative possessive construction?

12e – OTHER?

# Parameters 13-16. Etymology (for dedicated markers)

- Is it borrowed? (From what language? From what item/marker?)
- Is it derived from or related to an item with a different meaning? (Which one?)
- Is it possible to recognize inside this marker (at least historically) any negative marker (not a proper caritive one)?
- (Other) diachronical information (all available)



# PART II. SEMANTICS

Parameter 17. Animacy: can the absentee be...

- ...human?
- ...animate (non-human)?
- ...inanimate?

Parameter 18. Definiteness: can the absentee be...

- ...non-specific?
- ...definite?
- ...indefinite?

# Parameter 19. Functions of the absentee and other meanings/functions

- Companion? *John came without Mary.*
- Instrument? *It's impossible to cut bread without a knife.*
- Legal possession? *It's hard to live without a car [not having a car].*
- Temporary possession? *[John left money at home]. He left without money.*
- Garment? *John came without trousers / without a hat.*
- Kinship relations? *Citizens without children will not get money (allowance).*
- Body part—possessor relation? *A soldier came back without an arm / a leg after the war.*

# Parameter 19. Functions of the absentee and other meanings/functions

- Part—whole relation? *There's a windowless house on top of the hill.*
- Vehicle (means of transportation)? *He reached the village without the bus, on foot.*
- Adjacent entity / locality? *a village without a church*
- Parameter (of the anchor participant)? *I bought a dress without patterns.*
- Content? *I brought a bag without potatoes.*
- Circumstance? *It's cold without the sun.*
- Cause / condition? [combines with other functions] *You won't be able to open the door without me.*

# Parameter 19. Functions of the absentee and other meanings/functions

- Participant exception (in an affirmative clause)? *Everybody went to the city except for John.*
- Participant exception (in a negative clause)? *Nobody went to the city except for John.*
- Participant addition? *Apart from me, there were five (more) people there.*
- Concomitant situation? *He bought it without thinking.*
- OTHER? *They managed to survive without food. / He ate vegetables instead of meat.*

# Parameter 20. Restrictions on the type of anchor participant or predicate

- Are there any restrictions on the type of anchor participant?
- Are there any restrictions on the type of predicate?

## Parameter 21. Type of caritive situation

- Temporary situation?
- Permanent situation?

## Parameter 22. Involvement of the absentee

- The companion absentee is simply absent?
- The companion absentee is present but does not participate in the situation?
- The instrument absentee is simply absent?
- The instrument absentee is present but does not participate in the situation?

# PART III. INFORMATION STRUCTURE

## Parameters 24-25. Topic – focus

- The caritive phrase can occur in topic. (*conditional:*) *Mary won't go to the city without John.*
- The caritive phrase can occur in focus. [*Mary was going to the city with John, but he felt sick. Thus*] *Mary went to the city without John.*
- The caritive phrase can occur in contrastive topic. *With Peter, Mary will agree to play, but she definitely won't without Peter.*
- The caritive phrase can occur in contrastive focus. (*answer to a WH-question:*) [*How did John open the can in the end?*] *John opened the can without a knife.*

## 4. Problems of the questionnaire

- It does not include “caritive” adjectives: *empty, naked* etc.
- It does not reflect whether a language is “caritive-friendly” (prefers proper caritive expressions) or not (prefers caritive adjectives):  
cf. *a bag without potatoes VS. empty bag*



## 4. Problems of the questionnaire

- The questionnaire is descriptive. It is not clear which of the values of parameters are caritive specific and which of them depend on other language features.

Example: headless use (*безрукий пришел*) depends on the properties of adjectives in the language (?).

- Our database will be comparable with data from other sources like WALS.

## 4. Problems of the questionnaire

- Some of the parameters depend on each other. The questionnaire might be redundant.

Example: if a caritive can be used with a personal pronoun (parameter 6c, *without me*), the absentee can be definite (parameter 18b).

➤ It is better to have some extra repeated information just in case.

Thank you!

## References

- Miriam Corris. 2005. A grammar of Barupu, a language of Papua New Guinea.
- Nicholas D. Evans. 1995. A Grammar of Kayardild: With Historical-Comparative Notes on Tangkic. (Mouton Grammar Library, 15.) Berlin, New York: Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter. xxiv+837pp.
- Fedden, Sebastian, 1973. A grammar of Mian (Mouton grammar library; 55)
- Martin Haspelmath. 1993. A Grammar of Lezgian. (Mouton Grammar Library, 9.) Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter. xx+567pp.